

# SEMISIMPLICITY OF THE CATEGORIES OF YETTER-DRINFELD MODULES AND LONG DIMODULES

S. CAENEPEEL AND T. GUÉDÉNON

ABSTRACT. Let  $k$  be a field, and  $H$  a Hopf algebra with bijective antipode. If  $H$  is commutative, noetherian, semisimple and cosemisimple, then the category  ${}_H\mathcal{YD}^H$  of Yetter-Drinfeld modules is semisimple. We also prove a similar statement for the category of Long dimodules, without the assumption that  $H$  is commutative.

## INTRODUCTION

Let  $H$  be a Hopf algebra at the same time acting and coacting on a vector space  $M$ . We can impose various compatibility relations between the action and coaction, leading to different notions of Hopf modules. Hopf modules are already considered by Sweedler [15], and they have to satisfy the relation

$$\rho(hm) = \Delta(h)\rho(m) = h_1m_0 \otimes h_2m_1$$

One can also require that the  $H$ -coaction is  $H$ -linear:

$$\rho(hm) = h\rho(m) = hm_0 \otimes m_1$$

A module satisfying this condition is called a Long dimodule. Long dimodules are the building stones of the Brauer-Long group, in the case where the Hopf algebra  $H$  is commutative, cocommutative and faithfully projective (see [8], and [1] for a detailed discussion). Long dimodules are also connected to a non-linear equation (see [10]). Another - at first sight complicated and artificial - compatibility relation is the following:

$$h_1m_0 \otimes h_2m_1 = (h_2m)_0 \otimes (h_2m)_1h_1$$

A module that satisfies it is called a Yetter-Drinfeld module. There is a close connection between Yetter-Drinfeld modules and the Drinfeld double (see [5]): if  $H$  is finitely generated projective, then the

---

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 16W30.

*Key words and phrases.* semisimple, Yetter-Drinfeld module, Long dimodule.

Research supported by the project G.0278.01 "Construction and applications of non-commutative geometry: from algebra to physics" from FWO Vlaanderen.

category of Yetter-Drinfeld modules is isomorphic to the category of modules over the Drinfeld double. Yetter-Drinfeld modules have been studied intensively by several authors over the passed fifteen years, see for example [3], [7], [9], [13], this list is far from exhaustive. One of the important features is the fact that the category of Yetter-Drinfeld modules is braided monoidal. As Long dimodules, Yetter-Drinfeld modules are related to a non-linear equation, the quantum Yang-Baxter equation (see e.g. [6]). If  $H$  is commutative and cocommutative, then Yetter-Drinfeld modules coincide with Long dimodules.

In this note, we give sufficient conditions for the categories of Yetter-Drinfeld modules and Long dimodules to be semisimple (Section 3) and we study projective and injective dimension in these categories. Our main result is that the category of Yetter-Drinfeld modules is semisimple if  $H$  is a commutative, noetherian, semisimple and cosemisimple Hopf algebra over a field  $k$ . The same is true for the category of Long dimodules, without the assumption that  $H$  is commutative. In the case where  $H$  is finite dimensional there is a partial overlap with [12, Prop. 7], where necessary and sufficient conditions for the semiplicity of the Drinfeld double  $D(H)$  are given (see Remark 3.5).

For generalities on Hopf algebras, we refer the reader to [4], [11], [15]. For a detailed study of Hopf modules and their generalizations, we refer to [3].

## 1. PRELIMINARY RESULTS

Let  $k$  be a commutative ring, and  $H$  a faithfully flat Hopf algebra with bijective antipode  $S$ . Unadorned  $\otimes$  and  $\text{Hom}$  will be over  $k$ .  $\mathcal{M}$  will be the category of  $k$ -modules. We will use the Sweedler-Heyneman notation for comultiplication and coaction: for  $h \in H$ , we write

$$\Delta(h) = h_1 \otimes h_2$$

(summation implicitly understood), and for a right  $H$ -comodule  $(M, \rho_M)$  and  $m \in M$ , we write

$$\rho_M(m) = m_0 \otimes m_1$$

${}_H\mathcal{M}$  and  $\mathcal{M}^H$  will be the categories of respectively left  $H$ -modules and left  $H$ -linear maps, and right  $H$ -comodules and right  $H$ -colinear maps. If  $M$  and  $N$  are right  $H$ -comodules, then we denote the  $k$ -module consisting of right  $H$ -colinear maps from  $M$  to  $N$  by  $\text{Hom}^H(M, N)$ .

$$M^{\text{co}H} = \{m \in M \mid \rho_M(m) = m \otimes 1\}$$

is called the  $k$ -submodule of coinvariants of  $M$ . Observe that  $H^{\text{co}H} = k$ . Suppose that a  $k$ -vector space  $M$  is at the same time a left  $H$ -module

and a right  $H$ -comodule. Recall that  $M$  is called a left-right Yetter-Drinfeld module if

$$h_1 m_0 \otimes h_2 m_1 = (h_2 m)_0 \otimes (h_2 m)_1 h_1$$

or, equivalently,

$$\rho(hm) = h_2 m_0 \otimes h_3 m_1 S^{-1}(h_1)$$

for all  $m \in M$  and  $h \in H$ .  $M$  is called a left-right Long dimodule if

$$\rho(hm) = hm_0 \otimes m_1$$

for all  $m \in M$  and  $h \in H$ . If  $H$  is commutative and cocommutative, then a Long dimodule is the same as a Yetter-Drinfeld module.  ${}_H\mathcal{YD}^H$  and  ${}_H\mathcal{L}^H$  will be the categories of respectively Yetter-Drinfeld modules and Long dimodules, and  $H$ -linear  $H$ -colinear maps. The  $k$ -module consisting of all  $H$ -linear  $H$ -colinear maps between two Yetter-Drinfeld modules or two Long dimodules  $M$  and  $N$  will be denoted by  ${}_H\text{Hom}^H(M, N)$ . If  $H$  is finitely generated and projective, then the category  ${}_H\mathcal{YD}^H$  is isomorphic to the category  ${}_{D(H)}\mathcal{M}$ , where  $D(H)$  is the Drinfeld double of  $H$ , and  ${}_H\mathcal{L}^H$  is isomorphic to  ${}_{H \otimes H^*}\mathcal{M}$ .

The functors

$$(-)^{\text{co}H} : {}_H\mathcal{YD}^H \rightarrow \mathcal{M} \text{ and } (-)^{\text{co}H} : {}_H\mathcal{L}^H \rightarrow \mathcal{M}$$

are exact if

$$(-)^{\text{co}H} : \mathcal{M}^H \rightarrow \mathcal{M}$$

is exact. This is the case if  $H$  is cosemisimple and  $k$  is a field.

**Lemma 1.1.** (1) *Let  $M$  and  $N$  be objects of  ${}_H\mathcal{YD}^H$ . Then  $M \otimes N$  is an object of  ${}_H\mathcal{YD}^H$ ; the  $H$ -action and  $H$ -coaction are given by*

$$h(m \otimes n) = h_1 m \otimes h_2 n \quad \text{and} \quad \rho(m \otimes n) = m_0 \otimes n_0 \otimes n_1 m_1$$

(2) *Let  $M$  and  $N$  be objects of  ${}_H\mathcal{L}^H$ . Then  $M \otimes N$  is an object of  ${}_H\mathcal{L}^H$ ; the  $H$ -action and  $H$ -coaction are given by*

$$h(m \otimes n) = h_1 m \otimes h_2 n \quad \text{and} \quad \rho(m \otimes n) = m_0 \otimes n_0 \otimes m_1 n_1$$

(3) *For any  $H$ -comodule  $N$ ,  $H \otimes N$  is an object of  ${}_H\mathcal{YD}^H$  via the following structures*

$$h(h' \otimes n) = hh' \otimes n \quad \text{and} \quad \rho(h \otimes n) = h_2 \otimes n_0 \otimes h_3 n_1 S^{-1}(h_1)$$

(4) *For any  $H$ -comodule  $N$ ,  $H \otimes N$  is an object of  ${}_H\mathcal{L}^H$  via the following structures*

$$h(h' \otimes n) = hh' \otimes n \quad \text{and} \quad \rho(h \otimes n) = h \otimes n_0 \otimes n_1$$

*Proof.* This result is well-known, and the proof is a straightforward computation. It may be found in [1, p. 440], [1, Prop. 12.1.2], [3, Prop. 123], and [3, Sec. 7.2].  $\square$

**Lemma 1.2.** (1) *Let  $M$  and  $N$  be in  ${}_H\mathcal{YD}^H$ . If  $H$  is commutative, then  $M \otimes_H N$  is an object of  ${}_H\mathcal{YD}^H$ . The  $H$ -action and  $H$ -coaction are given by*

$$h(m \otimes n) = hm \otimes n = m \otimes hn$$

and

$$\rho_{M \otimes_H N}(m \otimes n) = m_0 \otimes n_0 \otimes n_1 m_1$$

(2) *Let  $H$  be commutative. Let  $M$  and  $N$  be in  ${}_H\mathcal{YD}^H$  with  $M$  finitely generated projective in  ${}_H\mathcal{M}$ . Then*

(a)  ${}_H\text{Hom}(M, N) \in \mathcal{M}^H$  and

$${}_H\text{Hom}^H(M, N) = {}_H\text{Hom}(M, N)^{coH}$$

The coaction is defined by

$$\rho(f) = f_0 \otimes f_1 \in {}_H\text{Hom}(M, N) \otimes H$$

if and only if

$$(1) \quad f_0(m) \otimes f_1 = f(m_0)_0 \otimes f(m_0)_1 S(m_1)$$

for all  $m \in M$ .

(b)  ${}_H\text{Hom}(M, N) \in {}_H\mathcal{YD}^H$ ; the  $H$ -action is defined by  $(hf)(m) = hf(m) = f(hm)$ .

*Proof.* 1) It is clear that  $M \otimes_H N$  is an  $H$ -module. An easy verification shows that the  $H$ -coaction is well-defined on the tensor product over  $H$  and that the necessary associativity and counit properties are satisfied, so that  $M \otimes_H N$  is also an  $H$ -comodule.  $M \otimes_H N$  is a Yetter-Drinfeld module, since we have for every  $h \in H$  that

$$\begin{aligned} \rho_{M \otimes_H N}(hm \otimes n) &= (hm)_0 \otimes n_0 \otimes n_1(hm)_1 \\ &= h_2 m_0 \otimes n_0 \otimes n_1 h_3 m_1 S^{-1}(h_1) \\ &= h_2(m_0 \otimes n_0) \otimes h_3 n_1 m_1 S^{-1}(h_1) \\ &= h_2(m \otimes n)_0 \otimes h_3(m \otimes n)_1 S^{-1}(h_1) \end{aligned}$$

2a) Let us define a map

$$\pi : \text{Hom}(M, N) \rightarrow \text{Hom}(M, N \otimes H)$$

by

$$\pi(f)(m) = f(m_0)_0 \otimes f(m_0)_1 S(m_1)$$

Let  $f$  be  $H$ -linear. Using the commutativity of  $H$ , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\pi(f)(hm) &= f((hm)_0)_0 \otimes f((hm)_0)_1 S((hm)_1) \\ &= (h_2 f(m_0))_0 \otimes (h_2 f(m_0))_1 S(h_3 m_1 S^{-1}(h_1)) \\ &= h_3 f(m_0)_0 \otimes h_4 f(m_0)_1 S^{-1}(h_2) h_1 S(m_1) S(h_5) \\ &= h f(m_0)_0 \otimes f(m_0)_1 S(m_1) = h \pi(f)(m)\end{aligned}$$

so  $\pi(f)$  is  $H$ -linear, and  $\pi$  restricts to a map

$$\pi : {}_H\text{Hom}(M, N) \rightarrow {}_H\text{Hom}(M, N \otimes H)$$

Now  $M$  is finitely generated and projective as an  $H$ -module, so we have a natural isomorphism  ${}_H\text{Hom}(M, N \otimes H) \cong {}_H\text{Hom}(M, N) \otimes H$ , and we obtain a map

$$\pi : {}_H\text{Hom}(M, N) \rightarrow {}_H\text{Hom}(M, N) \otimes H$$

with  $\pi(f) = f_0 \otimes f_1$  if and only if

$$(\pi(f))(m) = f_0(m) \otimes f_1 = f(m_0)_0 \otimes f(m_0)_1 S(m_1)$$

It is straightforward to show that  $\pi$  makes  ${}_H\text{Hom}(M, N)$  a right  $H$ -comodule. Now take  $f \in {}_H\text{Hom}^H(M, N)$  and  $m \in M$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned}\pi(f)(m) &= f_0(m) \otimes f_1 = f(m_0)_0 \otimes f(m_0)_1 S(m_1) \\ &= f(m_0) \otimes m_1 S(m_2) = f(m) \otimes 1 = (f \otimes 1)(m)\end{aligned}$$

so  $f$  is coinvariant. Conversely, take  $f \in {}_H\text{Hom}(M, N)^{\text{co}H}$ . Then for every  $m \in M$

$$f(m_0)_0 \otimes f(m_0)_1 S(m_1) = f_0(m) \otimes f_1 = f(m) \otimes 1$$

and

$$f(m_0)_0 \otimes f(m_0)_1 S(m_1) m_2 = f(m_0) \otimes m_1$$

and it follows that

$$\rho_N(f(m)) = \rho_N(f(m_0)) \varepsilon(m_1) = f(m_0) \otimes m_1$$

and  $f$  is  $H$ -colinear.

2b) Clearly  ${}_H\text{Hom}(M, N)$  is an  $H$ -module and, by a), it is an  $H$ -comodule. On the other hand, we have

$$\begin{aligned}((hf)_0 \otimes (hf)_1)(m) &= ((hf)(m_0))_0 \otimes ((hf)(m_0))_1 S(m_1) \\ &= (h(f(m_0)))_0 \otimes (h(f(m_0)))_1 S(m_1) \\ &= h_2(f(m_0)_0) \otimes h_3(f(m_0)_1) S^{-1}(h_1) S(m_1) \\ &= h_2(f(m_0)_0) \otimes h_3(f(m_0)_1) S(m_1) S^{-1}(h_1) \\ &= h_2(f_0(m)) \otimes h_3 f_1 S^{-1}(h_1) \\ &= (h_2 f_0 \otimes h_3 f_1 S^{-1}(h_1))(m)\end{aligned}$$

so  ${}_H\text{Hom}(M, N) \in {}_H\mathcal{YD}^H$ .  $\square$

*Remark 1.3.* The results in Lemma 1.2 remain true after we replace  ${}_H\mathcal{YD}^H$  by  ${}_H\mathcal{L}^H$ . The  $H$ -coaction on  $M \otimes_H N$  is given by

$$\rho_{M \otimes_H N}(m \otimes n) = m_0 \otimes n_0 \otimes m_1 n_1$$

The  $H$ -coaction on  ${}_H\text{Hom}(M, N)$  is also defined by (1). Part 2a) of Lemma 1.2 then also holds if  $H$  is noncommutative.

**Lemma 1.4.** *Let  $V$  be a  $k$ -module and  $N$  an  $H$ -module.*

- (1)  ${}_H\text{Hom}(H \otimes V, N)$  and  $\text{Hom}(V, N)$  are isomorphic as  $k$ -modules.
- (2) If  $V$  is projective as  $k$ -module, then  $H \otimes V$  is projective in  ${}_H\mathcal{M}$ .

*Proof.* 1) is well-known: the  $k$ -isomorphism

$${}_H\text{Hom}(H \otimes V, N) \rightarrow \text{Hom}(V, N)$$

is defined by  $\phi(f)(v) = f(1 \otimes v)$ .

2) follows immediately from (1).  $\square$

Let  $V$  be a right  $H$ -comodule which is finitely generated and projective as a  $k$ -module. For any right  $H$ -comodule  $N$ ,  $\text{Hom}(V, N) \in \mathcal{M}^H$ : let  $\{(v_i, v_i^*) \mid i = 1, \dots, n\} \subset V \otimes V^*$  be a finite dual basis of  $V$ , and define, for  $g \in \text{Hom}(V, N)$ :

$$\rho(g) = \sum_i v_i^* \otimes g(v_{i0})_0 \otimes g(v_{i0})_1 S(v_{i1}) \in V^* \otimes N \otimes H \cong \text{Hom}(V, N) \otimes H$$

This means that  $\rho(g) = g_0 \otimes g_1$  if and only if

$$g_0(v) \otimes g_1 = g(v_0)_0 \otimes g(v_0)_1 S(v_1)$$

for all  $v \in V$ .

By Lemmas 1.1 and 1.4,  $H \otimes V$  is an object in  ${}_H\mathcal{YD}^H$  and in  ${}_H\mathcal{L}^H$ , and is finitely generated projective as an  $H$ -module. So if  $N$  is an object of  ${}_H\mathcal{YD}^H$  and if  $H$  is commutative, then, by Lemma 1.2,  ${}_H\text{Hom}(H \otimes V, N)$  is an object in  ${}_H\mathcal{YD}^H$ . If  $N$  is an object of  ${}_H\mathcal{L}^H$ , then by Remark 1.3,  ${}_H\text{Hom}(H \otimes V, N)$  is an object of  $\mathcal{M}^H$ ; if furthermore  $H$  is commutative, then  ${}_H\text{Hom}(H \otimes V, N)$  is an object of  ${}_H\mathcal{L}^H$ .

**Lemma 1.5.** *Let  $H$  be commutative and  $N \in {}_H\mathcal{YD}^H$ .*

- (1) *If  $V$  is an  $H$ -comodule which is finitely generated and projective as a  $k$ -module, then the  $H$ -comodules  ${}_H\text{Hom}(H \otimes V, N)$  and  $\text{Hom}(V, N)$  are isomorphic.*
- (2) *Let  $k$  be a field and  $V$  a finite-dimensional  $H$ -comodule that is projective as an  $H$ -comodule. Then  $H \otimes V$  is a projective object of  ${}_H\mathcal{YD}^H$ .*

*Proof.* 1) Consider the canonical  $k$ -isomorphism

$$\phi : {}_H\text{Hom}(H \otimes V, N) \rightarrow \text{Hom}(V, N), \quad \phi(f)(v) = f(1 \otimes v)$$

$\phi$  is  $H$ -colinear since

$$\begin{aligned} \phi(f)_0(v) \otimes \phi(f)_1 &= (\phi(f)(v_0))_0 \otimes (\phi(f)(v_0))_1 S(v_1) \\ &= f(1 \otimes v_0)_0 \otimes f(1 \otimes v_0)_1 S(v_1) \\ &= f((1 \otimes v)_0)_0 \otimes f((1 \otimes v)_0)_1 S((1 \otimes v)_1) \\ &= f_0(1 \otimes v) \otimes f_1 \\ &= (\phi(f_0))(v) \otimes f_1 \end{aligned}$$

2) By 1) and Lemma 1.2, we have

$$\begin{aligned} {}_H\text{Hom}^H(H \otimes V, N) &\cong {}_H\text{Hom}(H \otimes V, N)^{\text{co}H} \\ &\cong \text{Hom}(V, N)^{\text{co}H} \cong \text{Hom}^H(V, N) \end{aligned}$$

□

Lemma 1.5 also holds with  ${}_H\mathcal{YD}^H$  replaced by  ${}_H\mathcal{L}^H$ , and without the assumption that  $H$  is commutative.

**Proposition 1.6.** *Let  $k$  be a field. An object  $M$  of  ${}_H\mathcal{YD}^H$  or  ${}_H\mathcal{L}^H$  is finitely generated as an  $H$ -module if and only if there exists a finite dimensional  $H$ -comodule  $V$  and an  $H$ -linear  $H$ -colinear epimorphism  $\pi : H \otimes V \longrightarrow M$ .*

*Proof.* If there exist a finite dimensional  $H$ -comodule  $V$  and an epimorphism of  $H$ -modules  $\pi : H \otimes V \longrightarrow M$ , then  $H \otimes V$  is finitely generated as an  $H$ -module and  $M$  is a quotient of  $H \otimes V$  in  ${}_H\mathcal{M}$ , so  $M$  is finitely generated in  ${}_H\mathcal{M}$ .

Suppose that  $M$  is finitely generated as an  $H$ -module, with generators  $\{m_1, \dots, m_n\}$ . By [4, 5.1.1], there exists a finite dimensional  $H$ -subcomodule  $V$  of  $M$  containing  $\{m_1, \dots, m_n\}$  and the  $k$ -linear map

$$\pi : H \otimes V \rightarrow M, \quad \pi(h \otimes v) = hv$$

is an  $H$ -linear  $H$ -colinear epimorphism. □

Let  $H^*$  be the linear dual of  $H$ . If  $M$  and  $N$  are  $H$ -comodules, then  $\text{Hom}_k(M, N)$  is a left  $H^*$ -module, with  $H^*$ -action

$$(h^*f)(m) = h^*(f(m_0)_1 S(m_1)) f(m_0)_0$$

(adapt the proof of [14, Proposition 1.1]).

**Lemma 1.7.** *Let  $H$  be commutative. For  $M, N \in {}_H\mathcal{YD}^H$ ,  ${}_H\text{Hom}(M, N)$  is a left  $H^*$ -submodule of  $\text{Hom}_k(M, N)$ .*

*Proof.* For all  $\alpha \in H^*$ ,  $f \in \text{Hom}_H(M, N)$ ,  $h \in H$  and  $m \in M$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned}
(\alpha f)(hm) &= \alpha\left(f((hm)_0)_1 S((hm)_1)\right) f((hm)_0)_0 \\
&= \alpha\left(f(h_2 m_0)_1 S(h_3 m_1 S^{-1}(h_1))\right) f(h_2 m_0)_0 \\
&= \alpha\left((h_2(f(m_0)))_1 h_1 S(m_1) S(h_3)\right) (h_2 f(m_0))_0 \\
&= \alpha\left(h_4 f(m_0)_1 S^{-1}(h_2) h_1 S(m_1) S(h_5)\right) h_3 f(m_0)_0 \\
&= \alpha\left(f(m_0)_1 S(m_1)\right) h f(m_0)_0 \\
&= h((\alpha f)(m))
\end{aligned}$$

and it follows that  $\alpha f$  is  $H$ -linear. Observe that we used the commutativity of  $H$ .  $\square$

Recall that a left  $H^*$ -module  $M$  is called rational if there exists a right  $H$ -coaction on  $M$  inducing the left  $H^*$ -action.

**Proposition 1.8.** *Let  $H$  be a commutative Hopf algebra over a field  $k$ . If  $M, N \in {}_H\mathcal{YD}^H$  with  $M$  finitely generated as  $H$ -module, then  ${}_H\text{Hom}(M, N) \in {}_H\mathcal{YD}^H$ .*

*Proof.* By Proposition 1.6, there exist a finite dimensional  $H$ -subcomodule  $V$  of  $M$  and an  $H$ -linear  $H$ -colinear epimorphism  $\pi : H \otimes V \rightarrow M$ . So we obtain an injective  $k$ -linear map

$${}_H\text{Hom}(\pi, N) : {}_H\text{Hom}(M, N) \rightarrow {}_H\text{Hom}(H \otimes V, N)$$

For all  $\alpha \in H^*$ ,  $f \in {}_H\text{Hom}(M, N)$ ,  $h \in H$  and  $v \in V$ , we have  $\pi(h \otimes v) = hv$ ,  $(1 \otimes v)_0 \otimes (1 \otimes v)_1 = 1 \otimes v_0 \otimes v_1$  and

$$\begin{aligned}
((\alpha f) \circ \pi)(1 \otimes v) &= (\alpha f)(v) = \alpha(f(v_0)_1 S(v_1)) f(v_0)_0 \\
&= \alpha(f(\pi(1 \otimes v_0))_1 S(v_1)) f(\pi(1 \otimes v_0))_0 \\
&= \alpha(f(\pi(1 \otimes v)_0)_1 S((1 \otimes v)_1)) f(\pi((1 \otimes v)_0))_0 \\
&= (\alpha(f \circ \pi))(1 \otimes v)
\end{aligned}$$

This relation and the fact that  $(\alpha f) \circ \pi$  and  $\alpha(f \circ \pi)$  are  $H$ -linear imply that  $((\alpha f) \circ \pi)(h \otimes v) = (\alpha(f \circ \pi))(h \otimes v)$ , and it follows that the map  ${}_H\text{Hom}(\pi, N)$  is  $H^*$ -linear. By Lemma 1.2,  ${}_H\text{Hom}(H \otimes V, N)$  is an  $H$ -comodule, and therefore a rational  $H^*$ -module. It follows that  ${}_H\text{Hom}(M, N)$  is a rational  $H^*$ -module, being an  $H^*$ -submodule of the rational  $H^*$ -module  ${}_H\text{Hom}(H \otimes V, N)$ . This shows that  ${}_H\text{Hom}(M, N)$  is an  $H$ -comodule. By Lemma 1.2,  ${}_H\text{Hom}(M, N) \in {}_H\mathcal{YD}^H$ .  $\square$

*Remark 1.9.* 1) Lemma 1.7 is still true if we replace  ${}_H\mathcal{YD}^H$  by  ${}_H\mathcal{L}^H$ , without the assumption that  $H$  is commutative.

2) We have the following Long dimodule version of Proposition 1.8: for a (not necessarily commutative) Hopf algebra over a field  $k$ , and  $M, N \in {}_H\mathcal{L}^H$ , with  $M$  finitely generated as an  $H$ -module,  ${}_H\text{Hom}(M, N) \in \mathcal{M}^H$ .

## 2. PROJECTIVE AND INJECTIVE DIMENSION IN THE CATEGORY OF YETTER-DRINFELD MODULES

**Lemma 2.1.** *Let  $H$  be commutative, and  $M, N, P \in {}_H\mathcal{YD}^H$ , with  $N$  finitely generated projective as an  $H$ -module.*

(1) *We have a  $k$ -isomorphism*

$${}_H\text{Hom}^H(M, {}_H\text{Hom}(N, P)) \cong {}_H\text{Hom}^H(M \otimes_H N, P)$$

(2) *The functor*

$${}_H\text{Hom}(N, -) : {}_H\mathcal{YD}^H \rightarrow {}_H\mathcal{YD}^H$$

*preserves injective objects.*

*Proof.* 1) We have a natural isomorphism

$$\phi : {}_H\text{Hom}(M, {}_H\text{Hom}(N, P)) \rightarrow {}_H\text{Hom}(M \otimes_H N, P)$$

given by  $\phi(f)(m \otimes n) = f(m)(n)$ . We will show that  $\phi$  restricts to an isomorphism between  ${}_H\text{Hom}^H(M, {}_H\text{Hom}(N, P))$  and  ${}_H\text{Hom}^H(M \otimes_H N, P)$ . Take  $f \in {}_H\text{Hom}(M, {}_H\text{Hom}(N, P))$  and  $\phi(f) = g$ . Then  $f$  is  $H$ -colinear if and only if

$$f(m_0) \otimes m_1 = f(m)_0 \otimes f(m)_1$$

for all  $m \in M$ . Using (1), we find that this is equivalent to

$$f(m_0)(n) \otimes m_1 = f(m)_0(n) \otimes f(m)_1 = f(m)(n_0)_0 \otimes f(m)(n_0)_1 S(n_1)$$

for all  $m \in M$  and  $n \in N$ , or

$$g(m_0 \otimes n) \otimes m_1 = g(m \otimes n_0)_0 \otimes g(m \otimes n_0)_1 S(n_1)$$

which is equivalent to

$$g(m_0 \otimes n_0) \otimes m_1 n_1 = g(m \otimes n)_0 \otimes g(m \otimes n)_1$$

and this equation means that  $g$  is  $H$ -colinear.

2) If  $I$  is an injective object of  ${}_H\mathcal{YD}^H$ , then the functor

$${}_H\text{Hom}^H(-, I) : {}_H\mathcal{YD}^H \rightarrow \mathcal{M}$$

is exact. On the other hand,  $N$  is  $H$ -projective, hence the functor

$$(-) \otimes_H N : {}_H\mathcal{YD}^H \rightarrow {}_H\mathcal{YD}^H$$

is exact, and it follows from (1) that

$${}_H\text{Hom}^H(-, {}_H\text{Hom}(N, I)) : {}_H\mathcal{YD}^H \rightarrow \mathcal{M}$$

is exact.  $\square$

If  $k$  is a field, then the category of Yetter-Drinfeld modules  ${}_H\mathcal{YD}^H$  is Grothendieck, and every object has an injective resolution. For every Yetter-Drinfeld module  $M$ , we can define the right derived functors  ${}_H\text{Ext}^{H^i}(M, -)$  of the covariant left exact functor

$${}_H\text{Hom}^H(M, -) : {}_H\mathcal{YD}^H \rightarrow \mathcal{M}$$

**Proposition 2.2.** *Let  $H$  be a commutative Hopf algebra over a field  $k$ , and  $M, N, P \in {}_H\mathcal{YD}^H$  with  $N$  finitely generated projective as an  $H$ -module. Then*

$${}_H\text{Ext}^{H^i}(M, {}_H\text{Hom}(N, P)) \cong {}_H\text{Ext}^{H^i}(M \otimes_H N, P)$$

*Proof.* By the first part of Lemma 2.1, the functors

$${}_H\text{Hom}^H(M, {}_H\text{Hom}(N, -)) \quad \text{and} \quad {}_H\text{Hom}^H(M \otimes_H N, -)$$

coincide on  ${}_H\mathcal{YD}^H$ . By the projectivity and the finiteness assumptions on  $N$ , the  ${}_H\text{Hom}(N, -)$  is an exact endofunctor of  ${}_H\mathcal{YD}^H$ . By the second part of Lemma 2.1, it preserves the injective objects of  ${}_H\mathcal{YD}^H$ . Thus the functor  ${}_H\text{Hom}(N, -)$  preserves injective resolutions in  ${}_H\mathcal{YD}^H$ .  $\square$

In the following corollary,  ${}_H\text{pdim}^H(-)$  and  ${}_H\text{injdim}^H(-)$  denote respectively the projective and injective dimension in the category  ${}_H\mathcal{YD}^H$ .

**Corollary 2.3.** *Let  $H$  be a commutative Hopf algebra over a field  $k$ , and  $M, N, P \in {}_H\mathcal{YD}^H$  with  $N$  finitely generated projective as an  $H$ -module. Then*

- (1)  ${}_H\text{pdim}^H(M \otimes_H N) \leq {}_H\text{pdim}^H(M)$ .
- (2)  ${}_H\text{injdim}^H({}_H\text{Hom}(N, P)) \leq {}_H\text{injdim}^H(P)$ .

*Remarks 2.4.* 1) Let  $H$  be semisimple. Then the projectivity assumption in Lemma 2.1, Proposition 2.2 and Corollary 2.3 is no longer needed.

2) If  $k$  is a field, then  ${}_H\mathcal{L}^H$  is a Grothendieck category with enough injective objects, and every Long dimodule has an injective resolution. For every  $M \in {}_H\mathcal{L}^H$ , we can then define the right derived functors  ${}_H\text{Ext}^{H^i}(M, -)$  of the covariant left exact functor

$${}_H\text{Hom}^H(M, -) : {}_H\mathcal{L}^H \rightarrow \mathcal{M}$$

All the results of this Section remain valid for  ${}_H\mathcal{L}^H$ . If  $H$  is semisimple, then the projectivity assumptions are not needed.

### 3. SEMISIMPLICITY OF THE CATEGORY OF YETTER-DRINFELD MODULES

Throughout this Section,  $k$  will be a field, and  $H$  a commutative Hopf algebra. Recall that  $M \in {}_H\mathcal{YD}^H$  is called simple if it has no proper subobjects; a direct sum of simples is called semisimple. If every  $M \in {}_H\mathcal{YD}^H$  is semisimple, then we call the category  ${}_H\mathcal{YD}^H$  semisimple. We say that  ${}_H\mathcal{YD}^H$  satisfies condition  $(\dagger)$  if the following holds: if  $M \in {}_H\mathcal{YD}^H$  is finitely generated as a left  $H$ -module, then  ${}_H\text{Hom}(M, -) : {}_H\mathcal{YD}^H \rightarrow {}_H\mathcal{YD}^H$  is exact.

By Proposition 1.8,  ${}_H\text{Hom}(M, N) \in {}_H\mathcal{YD}^H$  if  $H$  is commutative and  $M$  is finitely generated as an  $H$ -module. Also observe that  ${}_H\mathcal{YD}^H$  satisfies condition  $(\dagger)$  if  $H$  is semisimple.

**Proposition 3.1.** *Let  $H$  be commutative. Assume that  ${}_H\mathcal{YD}^H$  satisfies condition  $(\dagger)$  and that the functor*

$$(-)^{\text{co}H} : {}_H\mathcal{YD}^H \rightarrow \mathcal{M}$$

*is exact. If  $M \in {}_H\mathcal{YD}^H$  is finitely generated as an  $H$ -module, then  $M$  is a projective object in  ${}_H\mathcal{YD}^H$ .*

*Proof.* We know that

$${}_H\text{Hom}^H(M, -) \cong {}_H\text{Hom}(M, -)^{\text{co}H}$$

so  ${}_H\text{Hom}^H(M, -)$  is exact since it is isomorphic to the composition of two exact functors.  $\square$

**Corollary 3.2.** *With the same assumptions as in Proposition 3.1, and with  $H$  noetherian, we have that every object  $M \in {}_H\mathcal{YD}^H$  which is finitely generated as an  $H$ -module is a direct sum in  ${}_H\mathcal{YD}^H$  of a family of simple subobjects that are finitely generated as  $H$ -modules.*

*Proof.* Let  $N$  be a subobject of  $M$  in  ${}_H\mathcal{YD}^H$ . Then  $M/N$  is finitely generated as an  $H$ -module and we have an exact sequence

$$(2) \quad 0 \rightarrow N \rightarrow M \rightarrow M/N \rightarrow 0$$

in  ${}_H\mathcal{YD}^H$ .  $N$  is finitely generated as  $H$ -module, since  $H$  is noetherian, so it follows from Proposition 3.1 that  $M/N$  and  $N$  are projective in  ${}_H\mathcal{YD}^H$ , hence the sequence (2) splits in  ${}_H\mathcal{YD}^H$ .  $\square$

Take  $M \in {}_H\mathcal{YD}^H$  and  $V$  a right  $H$ -subcomodule of  $M$ . We will set

$$HV = \left\{ \sum_{i \in I} a_i v_i \mid a_i \in H, v_i \in V, \text{ where } I \text{ is a finite set} \right\}$$

$HV$  is a subobject of  $M$  in  ${}_H\mathcal{YD}^H$ ; the  $H$ -action and  $H$ -coaction on  $HV$  are given by

$$\begin{aligned} h\left(\sum_{i \in I} a_i v_i\right) &= \sum_{i \in I} h a_i v_i \\ \rho\left(\sum_{i \in I} a_i v_i\right) &= \sum_{i \in I} (a_i)_2 (v_i)_0 \otimes (a_i)_3 (v_i)_1 S^{-1}((a_i)_1) \end{aligned}$$

**Corollary 3.3.** *Let  $H$  be commutative and noetherian. Assume that  ${}_H\mathcal{YD}^H$  satisfies condition  $(\dagger)$ , and that the functor  $(-)^{\text{co}H}$  from  ${}_H\mathcal{YD}^H$  to  $\mathcal{M}$  is exact. Then  $M \in {}_H\mathcal{YD}^H$  is a direct sum in  ${}_H\mathcal{YD}^H$  of a family of simple subobjects that are finitely generated as  $H$ -modules. Therefore  $M$  is a semisimple object in  ${}_H\mathcal{YD}^H$  and  ${}_H\mathcal{YD}^H$  is a semisimple category.*

*Proof.* Every  $m \in M$  is contained in a finite-dimensional  $H$ -subcomodule  $V_m$  of  $M$ , see e.g. [4, 5.1.1]. Then  $HV_m$  is finitely generated as  $H$ -module, and, by Corollary 3.2, each  $HV_m$  is a direct sum of a family of simple subobjects of  $HV_m$  (and of  $M$ ) in  ${}_H\mathcal{YD}^H$ , which are finitely generated as an  $H$ -module.

Let  $\mathcal{N}$  be the collection of all direct sums  $N = \bigoplus_{i \in I} N_i$ , with  $N_i$  simple in  ${}_H\mathcal{YD}^H$  and finitely generated as an  $H$ -module. Then every  $m \in M$  is contained in some  $N \in \mathcal{N}$ . The sum of two elements of  $\mathcal{N}$  is again in  $\mathcal{N}$ , since the intersection of two simple objects is trivial.  $\mathcal{N}$  is partially ordered by the inclusion, so it contains a maximal element  $N'$ , by Zorn's Lemma. Every  $m \in M$  is contained in some  $N \in \mathcal{N}$ , and therefore in  $N + N' \in \mathcal{N}$ . Since  $N'$  is maximal,  $N + N' = N'$ , and  $m \in N'$ . It follows that  $M = N' \in \mathcal{N}$ , and  $M$  is a sum of simple objects finitely generated as an  $H$ -module.  $\square$

**Corollary 3.4.** *Let  $H$  be commutative, noetherian (in particular: finite dimensional), semisimple and cosemisimple. Then each  $M \in {}_H\mathcal{YD}^H$  is a direct sum in  ${}_H\mathcal{YD}^H$  of a family of simple subobjects of  $M$  finitely generated as  $H$ -modules. Hence  $M$  is semisimple in  ${}_H\mathcal{YD}^H$  and  ${}_H\mathcal{YD}^H$  is a semisimple category.*

*Proof.* The cosemisimplicity of  $H$  implies that the functor

$$(-)^{\text{co}H} : \mathcal{M}^H \rightarrow \mathcal{M}$$

is exact, and, a fortiori

$$(-)^{\text{co}H} : {}_H\mathcal{YD}^H \rightarrow \mathcal{M}$$

is exact.  $\square$

*Remark 3.5.* If  $H$  is finite dimensional, then the category of Yetter-Drinfeld modules is isomorphic to the category of modules over the Drinfeld double  $D(H)$ , so  ${}_H\mathcal{YD}^H$  is semisimple if and only if  $D(H)$  is a semisimple algebra. Semisimplicity of  $D(H)$  has been examined by Radford, see [12, Prop. 7]: he proves that  $D(H)$  is semisimple if and only if  $H$  and  $H^*$  are semisimple. Observe that Radford does not need the commutativity of  $H$ .

Another related result in the finite dimensional case is [2, Corollary 3.5]: if  $H$  is finite dimensional, cosemisimple and unimodular, then the extension  $H \rightarrow D(H)$  is right semisimple. By this we mean that every submodule of a right  $D(H)$ -module, which is a right  $H$ -direct summand, is also a  $D(H)$ -direct summand.

Take  $M, N \in {}_H\mathcal{L}^H$ , with  $M$  finitely generated as an  $H$ -module. By Proposition 1.8 and Remark 1.9,  ${}_H\text{Hom}(M, N) \in \mathcal{M}^H$ , and we can study the semisimplicity of  ${}_H\mathcal{L}^H$ . We will say that  ${}_H\mathcal{L}^H$  satisfies condition  $(\dagger)$  if the functor

$${}_H\text{Hom}(M, -) : {}_H\mathcal{L}^H \rightarrow \mathcal{M}^H$$

is exact for every  $H$ -finitely generated  $M \in {}_H\mathcal{L}^H$ . The previous results of this Section then remain true after we replace the category of Yetter-Drinfeld modules by Long dimodules, and without the assumption that  $H$  is commutative. We state the results without proof.

**Proposition 3.6.** *Assume that  ${}_H\mathcal{L}^H$  satisfies condition  $(\dagger)$  and that the functor*

$$(-)^{\text{co}H} : \mathcal{M}^H \rightarrow \mathcal{M}$$

*is exact. Then every  $H$ -finitely generated  $M \in {}_H\mathcal{L}^H$  is a projective object in  ${}_H\mathcal{L}^H$ .*

**Corollary 3.7.** *Let  $H$  be left noetherian, and assume that the conditions of Proposition 3.6 are satisfied. Then every  $H$ -finitely generated  $M \in {}_H\mathcal{L}^H$  is a direct sum in  ${}_H\mathcal{L}^H$  of a family of simple subobjects of  $M$  that are finitely generated as  $H$ -modules.  ${}_H\mathcal{L}^H$  is a semisimple category.*

**Corollary 3.8.** *Let  $H$  be left noetherian (in particular: finite dimensional), semisimple and cosemisimple. Then each  $M \in {}_H\mathcal{L}^H$  is a direct sum in  ${}_H\mathcal{L}^H$  of a family of simple subobjects of  $M$  that are finitely generated as  $H$ -modules. Hence  $M \in {}_H\mathcal{L}^H$  is semisimple and  ${}_H\mathcal{L}^H$  is a semisimple category.*

#### ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The authors thank the referee for his or her useful comments.

## REFERENCES

- [1] Caenepeel, S. *Brauer groups, Hopf algebras and Galois theory*; K-Monographs in Math.; Kluwer Academic Publ.: Dordrecht, 1998; Vol. 4.
- [2] Caenepeel, S.; Militaru, G.; Zhu, S. A Maschke type Theorem for Doi-Hopf modules and applications, *J. Algebra*, **1997**, 187, 388–412.
- [3] S. Caenepeel, G. Militaru, and Shenglin Zhu, *Frobenius and separable functors for generalized module categories and nonlinear equations*; Lecture Notes in Math.; Springer Verlag: Berlin, 2002; vol. 1787.
- [4] Dăscălescu, S.; Năstăsescu, C.; Raianu, Ş. *Hopf algebras: an Introduction*; Monographs Textbooks in Pure Appl. Math.; Marcel Dekker: New York, 2001; vol. 235.
- [5] Drinfel'd, V. G. Quantum groups, in “Proc. ICM at Berkeley”, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, 1987, 798–820.
- [6] Kassel, C. *Quantum Groups*; Graduate Texts in Math.; Springer Verlag: Berlin, 1995; vol. 155.
- [7] Lambe, L.; Radford, D. Algebraic aspects of the quantum Yang-Baxter equation; *J. Algebra* **1992**, 54, 228–288.
- [8] Long, F. The Brauer group of dimodule algebras; *J. Algebra* **1974**, 30, 559–601.
- [9] Majid, S. *Foundations of quantum group theory*; Cambridge Univ. Press, 1995.
- [10] Militaru, G. The Long dimodules category and nonlinear equations; *Algebr. Represent. Theory* **1999**, 2, 177–200.
- [11] Montgomery, S. *Hopf algebras and their actions on rings*; American Mathematical Society: Providence, 1993.
- [12] Radford, D. Minimal quasitriangular Hopf algebras, *J. Algebra*, **1993**, 157, 285–315.
- [13] Radford, D.; Towber, J. Yetter-Drinfeld categories associated to an arbitrary bialgebra; *J. Pure Appl. Algebra* **1993**, 87, 259–279.
- [14] Ştefan, D.; Van Oystaeyen, F. The Wedderburn-Malcev Theorem for comodule algebras; *Comm. Algebra* **1999**, 27, 3569–3581.
- [15] Sweedler, M. E. *Hopf algebras*; Benjamin: New York, 1969.

FACULTY OF APPLIED SCIENCES, VRIJE UNIVERSITEIT BRUSSEL, VUB, B-1050 BRUSSELS, BELGIUM

*E-mail address:* scaenepe@vub.ac.be

*URL:* <http://homepages.vub.ac.be/~scaenepe/>

FACULTY OF APPLIED SCIENCES, VRIJE UNIVERSITEIT BRUSSEL, VUB, B-1050 BRUSSELS, BELGIUM

*E-mail address:* guedenon@caramail.com